

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

STEPHEN E. JONES, LINDA D.

LYDIA, and CAROLINE FRANCO,
as Texas registered voters,

,

Plaintiffs,

CIVIII A COMONING

3:00-CV-2543-D

v. CIVIL ACTION NO.

GEORGE W. BUSH, RICHARD B. CHENEY, ERNIE ANGELO, GAYLE WEST, BETTY R. HINES, JAMES B. RANDALL, HELEN QUIRAM, HENRY W. TEICH, Jr., WILLIAM EARL JUETT, HALLY B. CLEMENTS, HOWARD PEBLEY, Jr., ADAIR MARGO, TOM F. WARD, Jr., CAMRMEN P. CASTILLO, CHUCK JONES, MICHAEL PADDIE, JAMES DAVIDSON WALKER, JOSEPH I. ONIELL III, BETSY LAKE, ROBERT J. PEDEN, JIM HAMLIN, MARY E. COWART, SUE DANIEL, JAMES R. BATSELL, LOYCE McCARTER, MICHAEL DUGAS, NEAL J. KATZ, MARY CEVERHA, CLYDE MOODY SIEBMAN, RANDALL TYE THOMAS,

CRUZ G. HERNANDEZ, JOHN ABNEY CULBERSON, STAN STANART, and

S. SESTRICT COURT
SAYTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FILED

CLERK, U.S/DISTRICT COURT

By Denuty

Defendants.

KEN CLARK,

<u>PLAINTIFFS= MOTION TO STRIKE</u> PORTIONSOF THE BRIEFS OF DEFENDANTS

Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court strike Sections I.A. and I.B. of the *Response and Brief of Defendants Governor George W. Bush and Richard B. Cheney in Opposition to Plaintiffs*'

PLAINTIFFS= MOTION TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF - Page 1

Preliminary Injunction Application and Section I of Defendants Ernest Angelo, Gayle West, Joseph L. O'Neill, III, Betsy Lake, Jim Hamlin, Mary E. Cowart, Michael Dugas and John Abney Culberson's Response to Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of Their Application for Preliminary Injunction and Supporting Brief as violative of this Court's Order of November 27, 2000.

- 1.00 On November 27, 2000, this Court held a scheduling conference with all counsel in this matter via teleconference. As a result of that conference, this Court entered an Order of that date, paragraph 7 of which clearly states, "No reply briefs in support of defendants' motions to dismiss or plaintiffs' preliminary injunction application will be permitted."
- 2.00 In the November 27, 2000, teleconference, this Court made a clear distinction between the Motions to Dismiss filed by the Defendants and the "merits" of Plaintiffs' Application for Preliminary Injunction. See, e.g., Transcript of Telephonic Scheduling Conference Before The Honorable Sidney A. Fitzwater, United States District Judge ("Transcript"), pp. 9, 45. Counsel for Defendants clearly understood this distinction. Transcript, p. 11.
- 3.00 Nevertheless, Defendants Governor George W. Bush and Richard B. Cheney, have, under the guise of responding to the "merits" of Plaintiffs' Application for Preliminary Injunction, filed a reply to Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss. See Sections I.A. and I.B. of the Response and Brief of Defendants Governor George W. Bush and Richard B. Cheney in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Application. These same individuals who have shown complete lack of respect for the terms of the highest law of this land the United States Constitution by attempting to end run the prohibitions of the Twelfth Amendment have now demonstrated that same lack of respect for the clear terms of this Court's Order and are now

attempting to end run this Court's directives.

4.00 The Elector Defendants don't even attempt to disguise their reply regarding their

Motion to Dismiss as addressing the "merits." Section I of their Response to Plaintiffs' Brief in

Support of their Application for Preliminary Injunction simply replies to Plaintiffs' Response to

Defendants' Motions to Dismiss.

Plaintiffs thus respectfully request that this Court strike Sections I.A. and I.B. of the

Response and Brief of Defendants Governor George W. Bush and Richard B. Chenev in Opposition

to Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Application and Section I of Defendants Ernest Angelo, Gayle

West, Joseph L. O'Neill, III, Betsy Lake, Jim Hamlin, Mary E. Cowart, Michael Dugas and John

Abney Culberson's Response to Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of Their Application for Preliminary

Injunction and Supporting Brief as violative of this Court's Order of November 27, 2000.

Dated: December 1, 2000

JONES & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

A. Jones (IX Bar No. 10908300)
Tracy, Suite 100 by permiss Com

5015 Tracy, Suite 100

Dallas, TX 75205

(214) 219-3456

(214) 219-9309 (fax)

Prof. Sanford V. Levinson University of Texas School of Law 727 East Dean Keeton St. Austin, Texas 78705 (512) 232-1351

LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM K. BERENSON, P.C.

William K. Berenson (TX Bar No. 02184500)

1701 River Run, Suite 900

Fort Worth, Texas 70107

(817) 805-8000 (817) 335-4624

LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES McGARRY

Charles McGarry (TX Bar No. 13610650) 900 Jackson St., Suite 600 (214) 748-0800 (214) 712-9254

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

Certificate of Conference

This is to certify that I conferred with counsel for all Defendants regarding the foregoing Motion. Counsel for Defendant Bush indicated that they are unable to agree or disagree to the Motion because they have not seen it, but subject to that, they oppose it. Counsel for Defendant Cheney and Counsel for the Texas Electors presently represented by the Texas Attorney General=s Office indicated that they oppose it.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 1st day of December, 2000, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Motion was served by facsimile and U.S. Mail on the following counsel for Defendants:

Barry F. McNeil Robin P. Hartman Stacy L. Brainin HAYNES & BOONE, LLP 3100 NationsBank Plaza Dallas, Texas 75202 Facsimile: (214) 651-5940

Harriet E. Miers Roger B. Cowie Evan E. Fitzmaurice

LOCKE LIDDELL & SAPP LLP

2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2200 Dallas, Texas 75201 Facsimile: (214) 740-8800

Andy Taylor
First Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of Texas
P.O. Box 12548, Capital Station
Austin, Texas 78711
Facsimile: (512) 463-2063